

The UK Deep State: an investigation into its anti-nativist malevolence

By Tony Shell

August 2017

For the UK Deep State the 'problem' of domestic Islamic terrorism is not that people are being killed and maimed – it is, rather, that such increasingly frequent acts of overt Islamic violence pose a threat to the successful conclusion of its anti-nativist 'progressive' project.

The UK Government's Joint Threat Research and Intelligence Group (JTRIG) functions as a special department within the Government Communications Head Quarters agency (GCHQ). Although the GCHQ main site is in Cheltenham, it is known to have numerous, smaller facilities throughout the UK.¹

The JTRIG operates in close collaboration with numerous other State agencies, including: the Metropolitan Police Service (London); the Security Service (MI5, the UK domestic intelligence agency); the Serious Organised Crime Agency (SOCA); the UK Border Agency; HM Revenue and Customs (HMRC); and the National Public Order and Intelligence Unit (NPOIU).

The UK police Confidential Intelligence Unit (CIU) works within the NPOIU – and, as such, manages and directs the operational strategy for the use of Covert Human Intelligence Sources (CHIS, i.e. the use of infiltrators, informants, and *agent provocateur* assets).^{2 3 4}

In 2015 a top secret (2011) report, titled: 'Behavioural Science Support for JTRIG's Effects and Online HUMINT Operations' produced by the Defence Science and Technology Laboratory (DSTL), was leaked. That report included recommendations on future JTRIG policy and procedures, in regard to the use of behavioural science techniques, for the covert influence and control of targeted individuals and groups.⁵

The DSTL report noted that one of JTRIG's key objectives was (and is) to include monitoring "*domestic extremist groups such as the English Defence League by conducting online HUMINT*".

I believe it to be highly significant that the only group identified as a supposedly "*domestic extremist*" threat, within that 42-page DSTL/JTRIG document, was the English Defence League (EDL) – a group consisting of mostly (but by no means exclusively) native people, engaged in street protests against Muslim perpetrators of organized gang violence, abusive anti-white racism, and murderous terrorism.⁶

It is abundantly clear from that JTRIG/DSTL report, and from other leaked documents, that the activities of the above State agencies goes far beyond "*monitoring*" and also includes actions intended to "*discredit, promote distrust, dissuade, deceive, deter, delay or disrupt*" targeted individuals and groups (including protestors and protesting groups labeled as "*domestic extremists*", as above).^{7 8}

In that regard it appears that the intention is to make protests against Islamic terrorism – or against specific activities, including: the racist pimping/trafficking of girls and young women; the trafficking of narcotics (i.e. heroin); and the belligerent advocacy of cultural practices that are in stark contradiction to, and exhibit utter contempt for, native law, custom and tradition – ineffectual, or counter-productive, or to provide the State law enforcement agencies with fabricated justifications for disrupting or stopping such protests altogether (including by unlawful means: harassment; searches; confiscations; arrests; and imprisonment).^{9 10}

For part of their secret surveillance/control work, the GCHQ/JTRIG sites operate with special dispensation from the Department of Trade and Industry (DTI). It is noted that the DTI became a part of the UK State Joint Intelligence Committee (JIC) in 1997. The other JIC members are: The Cabinet Office; The Home Office; The Foreign and Commonwealth Office; The Ministry of Defence; and The Treasury.

Access to electronic communications (landline/cable, digital data, image/video data, internet browsing activities, and email communications) therefore provides the State with the means to “*discredit, promote distrust, dissuade, deceive, deter, delay or disrupt*” those individuals attempting to exercise their right to protest against (in particular) an extreme Islamic terror ideology, now firmly entrenched within the UK.

Obviously such capabilities are prone to malicious acts by ‘progressive’ political elements within the higher levels of State agencies including: the creation of false ‘narratives’; the creation and/or control of bogus far-right groups; entrapment; and *agent provocateur* activities – as the means to neutralize such protest.

A part of that “*discredit, promote distrust, dissuade, deceive, deter, delay or disrupt*” process includes two particular strategies that have been subject to investigation, and which have led to this report, specifically: (1) the identity and activity of State operatives engaged in disruption, disinformation, and *agent provocateur* work; and (2) the creation, by elements within State agencies, of bogus ‘far-right’ groups.

Firstly, investigations into State operatives have gone far beyond their identification, and the ‘unmasking’ of any particular individual’s activities. It has been through the examination of their covert activities that important insights into the manner in which UK State surveillance/control agencies utilize such assets.

Therefore a consequence of such investigations has been the discovery of the use by State agencies of various strategies in the operation of such undercover ‘assets’, including: [1] a recruitment of individuals observed as having a unambiguous commitment to neo-fascist ‘anti-fascist’ activism; [2] the use of a ‘*reverse alias*’ technique to make any ‘unmasking’ supposedly impossible; [3] an initial probationary period as a police constable (employed under an *alias*); [4] the UK State police sponsoring of university training of ‘assets’ (social psychology, politics, languages); and [5] provision of logistical support to ‘assets’ through connections with designated multinational corporations (i.e. provision of IT services including email, bogus employment, international travel logistics, security/back-up support).^{11 12}

These investigations into such State covert operations have also revealed the manner in which compromised and subversive elements, with influential access to State agencies (police and security services, including GCHQ/JTRIG, MI5, and NPOIU/CIU/SO12), exploit the ‘good offices’ of those and other State agencies and NGOs, to assist in their efforts to help protect and encourage (in effect) the import of an aggressively belligerent Islamic insurgency into the UK.¹³

Those subverted agencies have been found to include the following: HM Constabulary (local constabulary, senior officers); The Criminal Prosecution Service (including regional offices/officers); and The Local Criminal Justice Board (local offices/officers). Elements within various NGOs have also provided support for that malfeasant subversion, including: UK universities/academia (i.e. social psychology departments staff); UK charities (and registered as such by the UK Charity Commission); the Citizens Advice Bureau (local offices/senior staff); and numerous State-supported and/or funded 'racism monitoring' organizations.

Secondly, investigations into State created/controlled bogus 'far right' groups have provided important insights into the thinking and intended strategies of those within the State agencies running such groups (such as the fabricating of events useful for supposedly 'authenticating' a particular, political narrative).

The activities of the UK Deep State includes application of techniques of no-violence/low-violence State terrorism – known colloquially as 'political correctness' and 'hate speech' prosecutions, enforced by the State. This, therefore, includes: the criminalization of the expression of supposedly 'incorrect' opinion; unlawful police searches, seizures and interrogations; unlawful seizure of property (computer files, documents, etc., vital for an effective defence for a person 'under investigation'); use of threats of imprisonment (or actual 'on remand' confinement); deliberately protracted prosecution proceedings; and harassment (following, photographing/filming, vexatious arrests).¹⁴

The consequences for any individual are likely to be devastating: extreme social stigmatization, leading to: destruction of family life; loss of livelihood and reputation; the abandonment by one's friends; and of abuse (possibly very violent) from members of the public. This (obviously) puts immense psychological pressures on the person 'under investigation' – as is intended. It induces real fear, effectively killing free speech.

The international (i.e. inter-European) nature of such societal manipulations includes elements within agencies of the UK State sending their operatives specifically to Germany as part of a collaborative effort in training in, and in the running of, covert "*disruption and discrediting*" operations. Notably, the focus in Germany has been to use such methods to eliminate all opposition to the massive influx of Muslim migrants from both the Middle East and North Africa, into Germany (and into the rest of Europe).

This is consistent with the extremely powerful (but fundamentally bogus) narrative, now being widely disseminated throughout Europe, that the Muslims in Europe are "*the new Jews*".¹⁵ It is a political, psychological control narrative being used firstly on the German people with the intention of getting them to accept (as an act of 'penitence') the mass immigration of Muslims into their country – despite the likelihood that the outcome will be catastrophically disastrous for most of the native, indigenous people.

Of note, therefore, are the discovered links between UK State *agent provocateur* operatives, and German State agencies – including particular sections of the German military (*Bundeswehr*) such as *Feldjagertruppe* (military police) officers deployed in Kosovo as part of the multi-national KFOR operation (with practical experience in controlling conflict in countries with both Muslim and non-Muslim populations).

This is consistent with the efforts by the senior German police officers to conceal and deny the actuality of Islamic, anti-nativist violence (such as the mass sexual assaults of young, German women and girls, by Muslim gang members, in the city of Cologne on the 31st December 2015).^{16 17}

This also mirrors similar behavior by rogue elements of the UK 'law enforcement' agencies, in regard to their effective complicity (through denial, and through actions against those that protest or attempt to protect or attempt a rescue of victims) in the widespread, long-term, violent sexual abuse of 'white' girls and young women throughout England, by Muslim pimping gangs, over at least the past two decades.^{18 19}

The purpose that underpins that inter-State collaborative effort being, therefore, the elimination of any effective opposition to the politics of unconsented, radical social change being enabled through 'open borders' mass immigration – and through compliance with an increasingly aggressive (murderous) Islamic insurgency, across all of Western Europe.

Of course all of this begs the question: how should English people react to the discovery that agencies of the UK State have been working in collusion with agencies of the German State, to most effectively portray protesting, non-compliant English people as being (supposedly) neo-Nazis and anti-Semites?

In this regard, research reveals a particular 'fingerprint' for those State-controlled, bogus 'far right' groups – their *modus operandi* being to grotesquely associate all conservative, pro-nativist campaigns in Europe with an advocacy for fascism, Hitlerism/Nazism, crude anti-Semitism, and (most especially) of Holocaust affirmation.

These bogus groups are clearly acting in such a way as to reinforce the political narrative being promulgated by the State, the 'progressive' left, Islamic advocacy groups, and mainstream media.

In July 2013 I sent a letter to Operation HERNE, detailing evidence I held concerning malfeasance / misconduct by undercover police officers (the Operation HERNE internal police investigation, under Derbyshire Constabulary, preceded the formal, and supposedly independent, judicial inquiry under Sir Christopher PITCHFORD).

The authenticity of my primary-source information was confirmed in the following 4 months – during which time I was interviewed on 6 separate occasions by senior police officers of the local Major Crime Investigation Team (MCIT).²⁰

My letter to Derbyshire Constabulary / Operation HERNE was not acknowledged. I have also not been contacted by the PITCHFORD Inquiry team. The conclusion is that my letter to Derbyshire Constabulary (and the information it contained) was never passed on.^{21 22}

Unfortunately there are also other indications that senior officers within HM Constabulary have been attempting to frustrate the PITCHFORD Inquiry, by preventing a proper examination of all available information.^{23 24}

So, what can be reasonably concluded from this research?

For the UK Deep State the 'problem' of domestic Islamic terrorism is not that people are being killed and maimed – it is, rather, that such increasingly frequent acts of overt Islamic violence pose a threat to the successful conclusion of it's anti-nativist 'progressive' project.²⁵

For profound ideological reasons the UK Deep State has a particular loathing of the native English people – and in particular a hatred of their characteristically unruly conservatism.

The Deep State has worked to impose radical, 'progressive' social change (and, fundamentally, of anti-nativist demographic change / population replacement), by means of fomenting extreme hate, social conflict, interracial violence (and, most especially, extreme anti-white violence) – and by the engineering of mass immigration into the UK from some of the most brutal and violent regions of the World.²⁶

As outlined within this report, the UK Deep State employs the clever use of deception, lies, disinformation, misinformation, sophistry, and of 'muddying' so as to foment widespread distrust, fearfulness, hate and violence. This includes using agencies of State (police, intelligence services, the judiciary) and mainstream corporatist media, to grossly distort and/or to conceal the motives of those committing terrorist atrocities – and/or to conceal the true identity of those controlling, inspiring, or influencing the perpetrators.

The UK Dark State therefore employs terrorism and proxy-terrorism (through various methods and to different degrees) against targeted individuals and groups – against those who oppose, or who are seen as an obstacle to, the elite's 'utopian' globalist vision for the future.

END

References and Notes

- ¹ Such as: IRTON MOOR, near Scarborough in Yorkshire; and ASHBY DE LA LAUNDE (RAF Digby) in central Lincolnshire.
- ² 'About NCDE', the Association of Police Officers, downloaded on the 10th April 2011 from the ACPO website: <http://www.acpo.police.uk/NationalPolicing/NCDENationalCoordinatorDomesticExtremism/AboutNCDE.aspx>
- ³ 'ACPO Job Description: Head of Confidential Intelligence Unit (CIU), National Public Order Intelligence Unit (NPIOU)', undated, p2454 Thames Valley Police, references to CIU activities, as a part of the NPIOU, NCDE of ACPO TAM, and to the use of CHIS assets,
- ⁴ 'Undercover Police Officers and The UK Protest Movement – a briefing by the No Police Spies campaign', February 2011, source: <http://www.nopolicespies.org.uk>
- ⁵ 'Behavioural Science Support for JTRIG's Effects and Online HUMINT Operations', by Mandeep K Dhama, Human Systems Group, Information Management Department, DSTL – a study commissioned by the JTRIG (Joint Threat Research Intelligence Group), 22nd June 2015. Source: PSYCHOLOGIST'S WORK FOR GCHQ DECEPTION UNIT INFLAMES DEBATE AMONG PEERS, by Andrew Fishman, 2015-08-07 – <https://theintercept.com/2015/08/07/psychologists-work-gchq-deception-unit-inflames-debate-among-peers/>
- ⁶ It is almost certainly the case that in recent years the EDL has been infiltrated by both far-left and State *agent provocateurs*. Incidents where seig-heiling young men, unrecognized by other EDL protestors and forcibly ejected by EDL protestors and EDL stewards, is falsely reported within the mainstream media as incidents of 'the EDL fighting amongst themselves'.
- ⁷ 'How Covert Agents Infiltrate The Internet To Manipulate, Deceive, And Destroy Reputations', Glenn Greenwald, The Intercept, 24th February 2014, source: <https://theintercept.com/2014/02/24/jtrig-manipulation/>
- ⁸ 'Psychologist's Work For GCHQ Deception Unit Inflames Debate Among Peers', Andrew Fishman, The Intercept, 7th August 2015, source: <https://theintercept.com/2015/08/07/psychologists-work-gchq-deception-unit-inflames-debate-among-peers/>
- ⁹ Including the use of particular 'policing' tactics such as: so called 'kettling'; the taking of protestors details (under the threat of arrest); the apparently deliberate tactic of allowing counter-protestors to assemble within 'bottle-throwing' range of the protestors; and allowing counter-protestors to engage in clear breaches of law (of inciting and/or committing breaches of the peace) through the displays of extreme, hate-fomenting placards and shouted (extremely abusive) insults.
- ¹⁰ Contrary cultural traditions such as: 'honour' killings; bigamy; female genital mutilation; punishment of apostates; ritual (halal) slaughter; Sharia law; *et cetera*.
- ¹¹ This 'reverse alias' technique is where the State *agent provocateur* uses their true identity (real name, real partial history) for their *agent provocateur* role – but uses an *alias* (or *aliases*) for their work within the State agency.
- ¹² Identified, designated multi-national corporations include: (1) a major Global biotechnology company headquartered in the UK; and (2) a multinational corporation headquartered in Germany (Berlin) and operating throughout Europe (and more recently within the countries of the Middle East and North Africa).
- ¹³ See, for example: 'The Justice System and "No Borders" Anarchism - In Common Purpose?', The UK Column, Issue 2, 2014
- ¹⁴ For example, in October 2006 a white 14 year-old schoolgirl was arrested by Greater Manchester police, interrogated, and held in a police cell after complaining (to her teacher) that she couldn't understand anything that was being said by the five Asian pupils in her 'discussion' group. It later emerged that none of the Asian pupils could speak English. [Daily Mail, 13th October 2006]
- ¹⁵ The first reference to a '*Muslims are the new Jews*' narrative appeared in 2003, when the academic Dr Masoud Kamali – a professor of social work at Mid Sweden University and the Center for Multi-ethnic Research at Uppsala University – asserted: "*In Europe today, and in Sweden, Muslims have taken the place of the Jews in the 30's.*" Source: 'Muslims Demonized – Like Jews', Aftonbladet, Home/Debate, 28th October 2003, source: <http://www.aftonbladet.se>
- ¹⁶ 'Cologne Attacks were Coordinated, Says German Minister – Cologne Attacks: Police Were Overwhelmed, Says Leaked Report', The Week, 11th January 2016, source: <http://www.theweek.co.uk/68265/cologne-attacks-were-coordinated-says-german-minister>
- ¹⁷ 'It's not only Germany that covers up mass sex attacks by migrant men... Sweden's record is shameful - Stockholm police were warned not to give descriptions of the perpetrators lest they were accused of being racist,' by Ivar Arpi, The Spectator, January 2016
- ¹⁸ '“I Thought I Was The Only One. The Only One In The World” – The Office Of The Children's Commissioner's Inquiry Into Child Sexual Exploitation In Gangs and Groups', Sue Berelowitz, Carlene Firmin, Gareth Edwards, Dr Sandra Gulyurtlu, The Office of The Children's Commissioner, Interim Report, November 2012 [138 pages]
- ¹⁹ 'Independent Inquiry Into Child Sexual Exploitation In Rotherham – 1997 to 2013', Alexis Jay OBE, 21st August 2014
- ²⁰ The Devon and Cornwall Major Crime Investigation Team (DCMCIT) based in Ashburton, South Devon.
- ²¹ On the 1st July 2013 I posted a letter to Derbyshire Constabulary ('Operation Herne') that gave evidence of the wrongful behavior by at least one undercover police. The letter was not acknowledged, and no response was ever received.
- ²² The Undercover Policing (Pitchford) Inquiry. A judicial Inquiry under the Chairmanship of Sir Christopher Pitchford, whose purpose is to investigate and report on undercover police operations conducted by English and Welsh police forces in England and Wales since 1968. Information downloaded from the website <http://www.ucpi.org.uk>
- ²³ 'Counter-terrorism money being spent on redacting evidence to inquiry on undercover policing', Adam Lusher, The Independent, 11th January 2017

²⁴ Concerns have been raised as to the slow progress of that INQUIRY – including allegations that elements within the UK Police Constabulary (and especially the MPS) have been deliberately frustrating the process. See: ‘Undercover police inquiry challenges Met to disclose and deliver’, Simon Israel, Channel 4 News, 16th March 2017

²⁵ Such as: most recently in London (22nd March, 4 people murdered); Manchester (22nd May 2017, 22 people, mostly girls and young women brutally murdered); and London (3rd June 2017, 8 people murdered).

²⁶ In this regard the particular interest is in regard to the activities of the UK Deep State – an elitist, authoritarian cabal transcending (and thereby subverting) elected government and the democratic process.