

Politics, Mosques, and Jihad in England – a Case Study

Tony Shell

This 'case study' is part of a wide-ranging investigation into the enforcement of 'progressivism'. It is an investigation of the way in which 'progress' requires the English (especially) to be deceived as to the intentions of an authoritarian State, of lies told regarding present and past events, and of the concealment of the true purpose of the State's many and various 'change agents'.

This study is independently produced, for the benefit of the public, and with no 'third party' involvement.

Background

In Plymouth, throughout the summer of 2007, rumours were rife of plans to establish a large mosque close to the city centre. The suspicions were that this scheme was being pushed through without proper public consultation – as a part of a political agenda for imposing extreme social change upon the local population.

By late 2007 the talk was that the Labour Party HQ (Beaumont Hall, Greenbank Avenue, St. Jude's) was scheduled to become the new mosque. A local Islamic group, the Plymouth Islamic Educational Trust (PIETY) was, at this time, running an "*our mosque*" page on its website, with a picture of Beaumont Hall.¹ That particular photograph could not have been taken any later than October 2007.

This was quite an extraordinary situation – especially given that the property was being advertised (with a large, prominent sign in front of the building) as a "*development opportunity with planning permission for eight apartments*". It is known that the Plymouth Labour Party (the vendor) had instructed the agents to turn away *anyone* interested in buying the property.²

From first-hand observations, from comments received from local residents, and from subsequent events (see later) it was very apparent that by autumn 2007 the Labour Party headquarters was already being used as a mosque. This was despite the fact that the building was still owned by the Labour Party – and no 'change of use' application had been submitted to the Council.

It was noted that visitors to St. Jude's were often seen entering and leaving Beaumont Hall, or gathering in large groups (of predominately young males) in the street outside. It is understood (from talking to local residents) that what seemed to be 'building work' could be heard coming from inside the premises.

Therefore the evidence was that the Plymouth Labour Party was engaged in a cynical deceit. Nor was this a trivial matter – but a deliberate misleading of the people of Plymouth, involving the manipulative use of the planning application process.

PIETY has revealed that Plymouth City Council had been “*begging*” PIETY to open a new mosque³. It is not clear why the Council should have chosen to adopt the bizarre posture of the ‘craven supplicant’. What *is* clear, however, is that the new Plymouth mosque was a ‘done deal’, carried out by the Labour Party and in collaboration with PIETY; and with utter contempt for local people.

The Labour Party Planning Application

On the 13th February 2007, the Sutton and Devonport Constituency Labour Party submitted a formal planning application to Plymouth City Council for the conversion of their old party HQ (Beaumont Hall, on Greenbank Avenue) into 6 one-bedroom and 2 two-bedroom flats. Planning permission was subsequently granted on the 5th April 2007 - subject to conditions in response to local worries concerning this already congested residential area, in the heart of Plymouth.

As a part of the planning application, the applicant (the local branch of the Labour Party) was required to include a ‘Statement of Design’ – the submission of which is a statutory (legal) requirement in which the applicant states it's intentions. In this Statement of Design The Sutton Labour Party clearly stated that: “*The surrounding area is almost exclusively residential, therefore to convert Beaumont Hall into self-contained residential accommodation would seem the most appropriate use.*” and it goes on to say “*The conversion of Beaumont Hall represents a more “good neighbour” use of the building and a use that would generate less vehicle and pedestrian traffic than its potential current use [as two main meetings halls, plus ancillary offices]*”.⁴

It was on this basis that planning permission was granted by the Council on the 5th April 2007, for development of Beaumont Hall for residential use.

Clearly the local Labour Party was telling Plymouth Council, and local residents, that the hall was to be converted into flats. A notice to this effect was placed outside the hall on the 16th April, and the agent's sign (that was still in place, up until the end of 2007) clearly gave this impression.

The award of planning permission (for residential development) effectively meant a huge increase in the value of property. It is estimated that without that permission the market value of the property would only have been approximately £200,000. With planning permission for development (as eight apartments) the market value was in excess of £400,000. Anyone buying the property would expect to bid at least the asking price – and on top of that would have to budget for the cost of conversion work.

Notably, just one month after planning permission was granted, PIETY revealed their intention of running all their activities from: “*a secure and permanent base*” and that this would be: “*in the form of a PIETY*”

CENTRE which will include a praying area [a mosque] that is big enough for the growing numbers of Muslims in the city, a library, a permanent exhibition space of Islamic artefacts, classrooms for all our educational activities, offices, and a youth club among others.”

PIETY went on to say: “*we have no dedicated place of worship that is large enough or robust enough to serve the needs of our fast growing community. Acquiring a building with adequate space for the purposes we have outlined will offset us in the region of £500,000; this is putting into consideration present market prices for some suitable properties that we have found within the Plymouth City.*”⁵

The PIETY organisation justified this expansion in the following terms: “*As the City of Plymouth grows in diversity we, the Muslim community are faced with the challenge of organising ourselves and helping the newly arriving brothers and sisters settle well and participate productively in community life. The current mosque at North Road East in Plymouth is too small for the growing population of Muslims.*”⁶

It is difficult to come to any other conclusion than that by October 2007 (at the very latest) there was an understanding between the Plymouth Labour Party and PIETY that PIETY’s future ownership of Beaumont Hall was assured, and that PIETY would be able to develop the premises as a mosque.

The Plymouth Mosque – The ‘Supporting Cast’

PIETY has informed us of its intentions with regard to its work in Plymouth – that it is to: “*break down the barriers between the Muslim community and the wider society by creating avenues for an enlightened appreciation of Islam*”.⁷ Under the listings for future ‘symposia’, PIETY promised: “*We aim to continue organising similar events in the future including one planned to be addressed by Brother Yusuf Islam (formerly known as Cat Stevens).*”⁸

PIETY’s claims to be working for “*an enlightened appreciation of Islam*” was therefore examined in terms of their actions – for example, of their intention to invite ‘Brother Yusuf’ to address the people of Plymouth. However there have been numerous reports of Yusuf Islam having previously expressed controversial and provocative (and extremist) views in terms of his beliefs in the proper observance of Islam. In 2000 Yusuf Islam was deported from Israel over allegations that he backed the militant Islamic group Hamas. Four years later (2004) Yusuf Islam was refused entry to the United States – his flight to Washington was diverted to another airport, where he was escorted off the aircraft by FBI agents and sent back to Britain.⁹

As a result of these discoveries, concerns regarding the people being linked to the new mosque were raised. Interestingly PIETY’s response appears to have been to simply (and only) to amend that particular announcement, to read¹⁰: “*We aim to continue organising similar events in the future including one planned to be addressed by another knowledgeable Brother.*” There was no explanation, no expression of contrition, or admission of an error of judgment. Notably there was no description of who this “*knowledgeable Brother*” might be. The only change was the removal of Yusuf Islam’s name.

It was also discovered that one of the main advocates for a Plymouth mosque, and someone very active in finding financial backers, was Abdur Raheem Green. Again, as with Yusuf Islam, there have been reports of Abdur Raheem Green having, in the past, expressed controversial and provocative views in terms of the observance and growth of Islam. Sheikh Abdur Raheem Green was denied entry to Australia in 2005.¹¹

Given the historical experience of Islam of the people of the South West – the arrogance implicit in the belief that local people needed to be “*enlightened*” was seen by many as highly insulting. It was this, the evidence of Islamic radicalism, and the surreptitious manner in which plans for the Plymouth Mosque had been pushed through by the Labour Party, that were to produce a sense of foreboding.

Mosque – What Mosque?

In press reports PIETY claimed that the Plymouth mosque was not a mosque, but a “*cultural and community centre*”.

This was rather odd, since PIETY had been asking (via its website) for funds for a “*Mosque*” in Plymouth since the autumn of 2007. In a posting on an Islamic website¹² PIETY called for donations for a “*model Mosque*” in Plymouth, with the exaltation: “*May Allah guide you to be one of those to get the blessings of establishing a Mosque!!*”

On the website for South West Muslim Doctors the PIETY organisation called for donations for: “*the purchase of a building to be converted to [an] Islamic Centre and Mosque*”.¹³

Sheikh Abdur Raheem Green, generally credited as one of the prime movers on this project and deeply involved in the raising of funds, was present at the opening ceremony, on the 1st March 2008. It is reported that during the celebrations PIETY had stated that: “*the building will not be a mosque*”.¹⁴ However Abdur Raheem Green had referred, on the 12th October 2007, to “*PIETY’s Mosque and community centre*”.¹⁵

Subsequent events (and, especially, the most recent planning application by PIETY for a ‘change of use’ – see later) clearly show that the intention has always been that the property should become a mosque.

Following The Money

An examination of the finances of the Plymouth mosque project reveal that PIETY needed (we were told) some £410,000 to fund the purchase of the property (19, Greenbank Avenue, St. Jude’s).

It was discovered that a cash grant of £41,000, for a deposit on the property, had come *not* from the UK, but from the Middle East (Kuwait). The fact that this ‘launch funding’ originated from Kuwait was felt to be especially worrying – considering the recent growth within that region of extreme Islamic radicalism.

The London-based Sheikh Abdur Raheem Green¹⁶ is known to have been heavily involved in instigating funding arrangements – even to the extent of flying out to Kuwait to help negotiate a deal.¹⁷ However it was noted that PIETY had listed on their website a “*Dr. Usama W.*” as the person responsible for running the “*fund raising team*”.¹⁸ No details were given of the mysterious “*Dr. Usama W.*” (presumably a pseudonym) and his name was not entered on any of the statement of accounts submitted to the Charity Commission.

Examination of available documents makes it possible to determine a ‘break-down’ of contributions made to PIETY for the purpose of purchasing and converting the Plymouth property. A donation of £41,000 was made early in 2007 by an unknown Kuwaiti source. This was to cover the initial deposit on the property.¹⁹

A donation of £130,000 was subsequently made by an unknown Saudi Arabian source.²⁰ The balance of the amount required for Piety to buy the property was made up by an interest-free loan of £130,000 (no details available) together with donations of £120,000.²¹

PIETY Gets Its Mosque

Although never officially announced, it was clear by February 2008 that PIETY had secured their mosque. Clearly the money had been found, allowing contracts to be exchanged between the Labour Party and PIETY, for the sale of Beaumont Hall in Greenbank Avenue.

The Plymouth Labour Party had got their £410,000.

Given that it seems that the local Labour party were willing to keep postponing the deadline until PIETY could find the money (and putting off developers interested in turning the building into apartments) then this outcome was not at all surprising.

On Saturday 1st March 2008 the Plymouth mosque was officially opened in the presence of local representatives of the Labour Party, Civic Dignitaries, Islamic teachers and some of the major fundraisers – including Sheikh Abdur Raheem Green from London. The event was hosted by PIETY.

The Aspiring Mass Murderer

Three months later, on the 22nd May 2008, the Islamic convert 'Nicky' Reilly, a student from Plymouth, was arrested after attempting to detonate three nail-bombs in an Exeter café. A senior police officer, Assistant Chief Constable Debbie Simpson, estimated that, had the nail-bombs successfully exploded, there would have been in excess of 50 people either killed or horribly maimed – including young mothers with their children enjoying a lunchtime break in the Giraffe café.²²

Reilly had been a worshipper at the PIETY mosque.

Subsequent reports in the local press revealed that the President of the Plymouth University Islamic Society was a friend of the arrested student: "*Reilly became friends with the President of the Plymouth University Islamic Society, and worshipped with him at the Islamic Centre, Greenbank Avenue, St. Jude's*"; and: "*... the President of the Plymouth University Islamic Society knew him [Reilly] by his adopted name of Mohammed Rashid and says he is amazed at what he is alleged to have attempted*".²³

Plymouth police commander Chief Supt Jim Webster later observed: "*Clearly this person has taken on some extreme views and from what has been said [in court] was looking to take on a target somewhere. He obviously considered a range of targets along the way*".²⁴ It subsequently emerged that Reilly had also considered bombing targets in the city of Plymouth, including the main city-centre shopping mall (Drake Circus), Charles Cross Police Station, and Devonport Royal Navy Dockyard.²⁵

Reports show that both the police and the security services had known of Nicky Reilly's aspirations to become an 'Islamic terrorist' from as early as 2003.²⁶ No action was taken.

A further six days were to pass (after the attempted bombing) before anti-terrorism police searched the Plymouth mosque. Prior to the search the police entered into discussions with the Director of the mosque, saying: "*Devon and Cornwall Police will continue to work with the trustees of the community centre [the mosque] to ensure that disruption of the work of the centre is kept to a minimum*".²⁷

In October 2008, five months after the attempt to bomb Exeter, a formal complaint was made to both Plymouth Police and to Plymouth City Council in regard to racist hate material being distributed from Council property.²⁸ This material was being produced by a 'third party group' and included descriptions of members of the 'white' population as "*fascists*" who wanted "*the physical annihilation of ... all Muslims*". Undoubtedly the intention was to incite hatred within the local Muslim community against 'white' people. Plymouth Police refused to take action, whilst Plymouth Council passed personal information on the complainant (including his name and address) to the group responsible for producing the hate material.²⁹

There is, however, a previous incident that gives possible insight into this behaviour by the 'authorities'.

On the 11th July 2005 Plymouth Police, together with the Plymouth Racial Equality Council and Plymouth City Council, issued a joint press statement just four days after terrorist suicide-bombers murdered 52 people in central London³⁰. The authors of that statement chose to portray "*those who hate in Plymouth*" as being 'white' people³¹. This appallingly crass and insensitive response to the bombings reveals the racist ideology of 'progressivism' – with the use of the pejorative term 'white' employed as a political code word for any people who are identifiably English and Christian.

The Saudi Connection

In addition to substantial donations from Kuwait, PIETY received considerable help (of a donation of £130,000) from an unnamed 'Brother' in Saudi Arabia. It is understood that the fundraising was "*under the expert guidance and advice*" of the organisation Green and Chambers Consultants – an organisation formed by Abdur Raheem Green and Yusuf Chambers for the purpose of helping Muslim communities with various community-related projects³².

It is of concern that details of the donators to the project (the Plymouth mosque) are not publicly revealed. The transparency of the source of funding is important since (inevitably) there are ties of obligation (although perhaps not overtly stated) between the donator of the money and the recipient. The donators expect their money to be used in a way that they would support. The major cause for concern has to be the funding links with Saudi Arabia.

The official Islamic sect within Saudi Arabia is Wahhabism. In testimony before the US Senate Wahhabism has been described as "*the most extreme, separatist, and violent form of Islam*".³³ And in terms of the proliferation of Saudi-funded mosques in the US, this witness goes on to observe: "*Wahhabi control over mosques means control of property, buildings, appointment of imams, training imams, content of preaching – including faxing of Friday sermons from Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, and of literature distributed in mosques and mosque bookstores, notices on bulletin boards, and organizational and charitable solicitation.*" There is no reason to assume that the situation in the UK would be any different.

In January 2007 a Channel 4 TV documentary reported on an investigation (in, predominantly, the Birmingham and Midlands regions) that had “*uncovered religious bigotry and intolerance spreading from the Saudi religious establishment through major, mainstream British organizations*” and that radical preachers at British mosques were calling for *Jihad*, and for the West to be “*taken over*” by Islam.³⁴ After launching an eight-month inquiry following the broadcast, the West Midlands Police and the Crown Prosecution Service found they were unable to prosecute Channel 4. The police and the CPS subsequently launched public attacks on the documentary-makers alleging (in effect) fakery. The police also attempted to pursue a complaint against Channel 4 through OFCOM (the TV broadcasters’ independent complaints agency).³⁵ This complaint by the police was totally rejected by OFCOM.³⁶ Channel 4 subsequently won a legal action for libel against both the police and the CPS.³⁷

Again, the perception is of the State not merely ‘turning a blind eye’ to Islamic radicalism – but acting in such a way as to encourage extreme attitudes and behaviours.

On their website Green and Chambers feature the organisation WAMY as a part of their ‘portfolio’ (in addition to PIETY, and others).³⁸ It is therefore assumed that Green and Chambers provide services to WAMY, as well as to those other organisations. Given this association, it is therefore appropriate to note observations, by a witness to the US Senate Committee³⁹, on the Saudi based organisation the World Assembly of Muslim Youth (WAMY) – where WAMY, together with the Muslim World League (MWL) are described as: “... *Saudi state entities identified as participants in the funding of al-Qaida.*”

The organisation Green and Chambers Consultants, commissioned by PIETY to oversee the fundraising and PR effort for the new Plymouth mosque, have stated in a news article in the Middle East: “*We have been working with WAMY to promote its good works and making people aware of the positive contributions WAMY has made in the field of charity works. The fact that Muslim charities have been unfairly labelled does not diminish all the good works they have done. Yes, WAMY has strong Islamic foundations in that it promotes Islam in its works. Christian charities have been doing that for ages. Christian Aid does the same promoting Christianity, that is not a crime.*”⁴⁰

However a Washington based researcher⁴¹ (into counterterrorism) gives an overview of WAMY, as a radicalised group, as follows: “*WAMY is reputedly the largest Muslim youth organisation in the world, and it falls under the umbrella organisation Muslim World League (MWL). WAMY has the stated goal of ‘arming the Muslim youth with full confidence in the supremacy of the Islamic system over other systems.’ In 1991 WAMY published a book called *Tawjihat Islamiya (Islamic Views)* that stated: ‘Teach our children to love taking revenge on the Jews and the oppressors, and teach them that our youngsters will liberate Palestine and Al-Quds [Jerusalem] when they go back to Islam and make jihad for the sake of Allah.’”*

Another researcher⁴² reports on events during the Bosnian conflict – with regard to a joint US-Bosnian government probe that connected the Saudi charity Taibah International Aid Association (TIAA) to terrorist funding and an Al-Qaeda front group. TIAA has been under investigation since shortly after 9/11 due to a foiled terror attack in Bosnia that has been connected to TIAA and Al-Qaeda. The TIAA was embedded with WAMY. Notably WAMY was led by Osama bin Laden relatives, brothers Abdullah and Omar bin Laden. The Bosnian Government later said that a charity with Abdullah bin Laden on its board had

channeled money to Chechen guerrillas. The investigation into WAMY restarted two days after 9/11, and after the bin Laden brothers had left the US.

Therefore the TIAA is a Saudi charity and an affiliate program of WAMY. In the aftermath of the 9/11 terrorist attacks, a cooperative investigation between the US and Bosnian governments uncovered a financial connection between TIAA and Al-Qaeda. At the time, Abdullah bin Laden (who co-founded WAMY) was a Taibah officer in its Virginia, USA location. In 2004 the TIAA (Bosnia branch) was designated by the US Government as a global terrorist entity.⁴³

The Pulitzer prize-winning author Steve Coll makes the following observation on WAMY: *“The materials distributed by the World Assembly of Muslim Youth did not explicitly promote violent Jihad in the style of Osama’s published essays, but they did contain the sort of vicious ant-Semitic tracts that were all too typical of the Muslim Brotherhood-influenced organizations. In an Arabic language book titled ‘A Handy Encyclopedia of Contemporary Religions and Sects’ the World Assembly’s listed reasons for Muslims to hate the Jews, using language that echoed the exterminating tracts of Germany’s Third Reich.”*⁴⁴

In July 2003 a witness to the National Commission On Terrorist Attacks Upon The United States presented an account of some of the activities of WAMY, including that of the ‘camps’ for young Muslims⁴⁵: *“Additionally WAMY runs camps on six continents to recruit and teach young Muslims, and has produced a handbook entitled ‘Islamic Camps: Objectives, Program Outlines, Preparatory Steps’, as a guide for other Muslim groups planning camps. The book lists steps for starting a camp, creating a program, inviting participants, establishing goals and technical advice. The book includes samples of schedules, sports to play, lectures, meals, and free time. In the index, Islamic Camps suggests chants such as: ‘Hail! Hail! O Sacrificing Soldiers! / On this day of Jihad, are you miserly with your blood? ! ... Come! So we may revive the times, the times of our predecessors!’”*

Although not identified as a Global Terrorist Entity, WAMY has been banned in Pakistan and it is reported that WAMY has been cited by the governments of India and the Philippines for involvement in the funding of Islamic militancy.^{46 47}

Charities and Islamic Terrorism

On the 21st September 2007 Abdur Raheem Green and Yusuf Chambers were involved in a fundraising campaign for the UK-based charity Interpal. This was an extended, live TV broadcast on IslamChannel, which was compered by both Green and Chambers.⁴⁸

Interpal has been designated by the US Government as a terrorist entity⁴⁹.

An investigative report by BBC TV in July 2006 had found evidence that funds from Interpal had helped build up the organisation Hamas, and that most of those funds had been sent to Islamic (partner) charities in Gaza and the West Bank, a number of which promoted Hamas’ ideology.⁵⁰ Interpal was shown to be at the heart of a global coalition of 56 Islamic charities called ‘The Union of Good’, and chaired by the spiritual leader of the worldwide Muslim Brotherhood movement, Dr. Yusuf al-Qaradawi. Investigations in 2005 revealed evidence of Dr. Yusuf al-Qaradawi, having expressed support for: the murder of people who have turned away from Islam (apostates); female genital mutilation (FGM); that a husband must compel

his wife to wear the hijab; domestic violence in certain circumstances; and suicide bombings (including by women).⁵¹

In November 2008 the Union of Good was designated by the US Government as an organisation that was “created by Hamas leadership to transfer funds to the terrorist organization.”⁵² The US Government report includes the comment: “The secretary general of the Union of Good, for example, also acts as the vice-chairman of the United Kingdom-based Interpal, which was designated in 2003 for providing financial support to Hamas under the cover of charitable activity.”

The UK Charity Commission launched an inquiry into Interpal in December 2006, and reported their findings just over two years later, in February 2009. Despite making some recommendations to Interpal on improving its management procedures, it was very clear that the Commission (the regulatory authority) had *not* fully investigated Interpal but had, instead, requested that Interpal should regulate itself. This bizarre behaviour by the Charity Commission was subsequently the subject of a devastating critique, in a report published by BBC TV in March 2009.⁵³

The PIETY (Mosque) Planning Application

On the 15th October 2009 the Plymouth City Council granted two further planning applications to the PIETY organisation. Both applications concerned the property at Beaumont Hall, 19 Greenbank Avenue, St. Jude’s – property that had been bought by PIETY early in 2008, and used as a mosque and Islamic community centre from (at least) that date.

The first application (dated 6th June 2009) was for “a three-story extension to include a new entrance to the place of assembly building, and first and second floor extensions, and internal alterations”.⁵⁴ These constituted major alterations to the building.

The second application (dated 27th August 2009) was for “a change of use from offices Class (B1) and community centre (D2) to use as a religious meeting place (D1) with secular community activity (D2)”.⁵⁵ This second application was (in effect) a retrospective application for the use of the premises as a mosque.

It is interesting to examine the Planning Officer’s report made to the 15th October Council meeting at which the above planning applications were reviewed (and granted).

In terms of the first application (for alterations to the building) the Planning Officer informed the Council that: “Twelve of these letters [of representation] show objection to the proposal, and four show support.”⁵⁶ However, our own examination of the relevant planning records show thirteen letters objecting to the proposal, and only two in support.⁵⁷ In terms of the second application (for use of the building as a mosque) the Planning Officer informed the Council that: “Three letters of representation have been received, all of which communicate objection to the proposal.”⁵⁸ However, again, our own examination of the relevant planning records show eight letters objecting to the proposal (and none in support).⁵⁹

It is known that at least one of the letters of representation – a substantial 8-page document giving detailed arguments by a local resident objecting to the planning applications – was lost by the Council. This loss

was only discovered after the objector checked the Council website. The letter of objection was (successfully) re-submitted.

Both planning applications also make reference to a Planning report '08/00551/OPR – Unauthorised Change of Use' – however the Planning Office claims exemption (under the provisions of FOI 2000) and will not release copies of that particular document to members of the public.

The Planning Officer's recommendation to the Planning Committee was that both the applications from PIETY should be granted, subject to a number of conditions. The Committee subsequently voted to accept this recommendation and both planning applications were approved. A condition placed on the granting of the planning applications was that there should be no 'call to prayer' from the mosque – unless and until authorised by a subsequent planning application.⁶⁰

Comment and Conclusions

In terms of the activities within Plymouth over the last three years, the information uncovered so far allows us to draw a number of conclusions. These are summarized below.

The Plymouth Labour Party deliberately deceived local residents into believing that the old Party HQ (in St. Jude's) was to be sold for conversion into eight apartments. The real intention was that it should become a mosque. The Labour Party cynically manipulated the planning application process by unnecessarily submitting a 'change of use' planning application (from meetings hall, to residential occupation) knowing that the granting of that application would greatly inflate the market value of the property.

The mosque owners (PIETY) nurtured links with Islamists – individuals who in the past have expressed highly controversial and provocative views regarding the advancement of Islam. There has also been a lack of transparency regarding the origins of the funding for the mosque – the sources are unnamed and public traceability is virtually non-existent. Those contracted by PIETY to manage the fundraising for the Plymouth mosque have previously helped raise money for an organisation identified by the US Government as a global terrorist entity. The fund managers have also been linked to a group identified by researchers in the US and Europe, and banned by a number of Middle Eastern and Asian governments, for holding and promoting extremist Islamic views.

The concern must be that the UK political elite sees the growth of a fundamentalist Islamic presence within the UK as unquestionably a 'desirable thing' – knowing the certain outcome to be conflict, and social fragmentation. This has to be seen in the context of successive UK Governments' activities in establishing the UK as the European centre for militant Islamic Jihad. Notably such behaviour is entirely consistent with the ideology of 'progressivism' – which, through the enforcement of extreme *diversity*, seeks the demise of Christendom, and the elimination of the English people (as 'a people'). This ideology of 'progressivism' has now been adopted by the new Conservative/Liberal coalition Government.

This is the extreme political agenda behind the events described within this 'case study' report.

References and Notes

- ¹ From http://www.plymouthislamiceducationtrust.org.uk/our_mosque.php downloaded on the 3rd December 2007
- ² In November 2007 a member of the research team visited the local commercial office of the agents (Stratton Creber, North Road, Plymouth) to express an interest, as the representative of a consortium, in making an offer for the property. The response from the agents was that “a buyer has been found, and the vendor has stipulated that no new bids were to be considered”.
- ³ from: <http://www.pietyuk.org/News.php> downloaded on the 22nd June 2009
- ⁴ Plymouth City Council, planning application number: 07/00224/FUL 13th February 2007
- ⁵ Greenbank Community Network, minutes of meeting held on Wednesday 5th May 2007 at Onward House
- ⁶ from: http://www.plymouthislamiceducationtrust.org.uk/our_mosque.php downloaded on the 3rd December 2007
- ⁷ Greenbank Community Network, minutes of meeting held on Wednesday 5th May 2007 at Onward House
- ⁸ from: http://www.plymouthislamiceducationtrust.org.uk/news_and_events.php downloaded on the 26th November 2007
- ⁹ ‘Cat Stevens Refused Entry to US’, Guardian Unlimited, 22nd September 2004, <http://www.guardian.co.uk/international/story/0,,1310205,00.html>
- ¹⁰ from: http://www.pietycentre.org.uk/news_and_events.php downloaded on the 28th December 2007
- ¹¹ ‘Abdur Raheem Green Refused Entry to Australia’, Caroline Overington, muslimvillage.net, 11th August 2005, source: The Australian.
- ¹² ‘Help bring PIETY to Plymouth! - Ummah.com - Muslim Forum’ downloaded from: Ummah.com website, on the 3rd January 2008 source: <http://www.ummah.com/forum/showthread.php?t=154292>
- ¹³ ‘Plymouth Mosque Appeal’, South West Muslim Doctors, downloaded on the 9th December 2007, source: <http://www.southwest-muslimdoctors.org/60.html>
- ¹⁴ The Herald, Monday 3rd March 2008
- ¹⁵ from: <http://www.islamsgreen.org> downloaded on the 12th January 2008
- ¹⁶ also known as *Abdur Rahim Green, Abdul Rahim Green, Abdur Raheem Green, Abdurraheem Green and Anthony Green*
- ¹⁷ from: <http://www.islamsgreen.org> downloaded on the 12th January 2008
- ¹⁸ from: http://www.plymouthislamiceducationtrust.org.uk/our_mosque.php downloaded on the 23rd November 2007
- ¹⁹ from: <http://www.islamsgreen.org> downloaded on the 12th January 2008
- ²⁰ from: <http://www.pietyuk.org/News.php> downloaded on the 22nd June 2009
- ²¹ From the PIETY statement of accounts submitted to The Charity Commission, for the periods November 2006 to November 2007, and November 2007 to November 2008.
- ²² The Herald, 16th October 2008, ‘Terrorism a Serious Threat To Us All’, page 8
- ²³ Western Morning News, The Herald, 24th May 2008
- ²⁴ The Herald, 16th October 2008, page 2
- ²⁵ The Herald, 16th October 2008, front page; The Western Morning News, 16th October 2008, page 6
- ²⁶ ‘Officers Took No Action Over Youth Who Said “I Want To Be A Terrorist”’, Western Morning News, 16th October 2008; and also: ‘Inside The Bizarre World Of The Big Friendly Giant’, Jamie Doward, The Observer, Sunday 25th May 2008
- ²⁷ Western Morning News, 29th May 2008
- ²⁸ Formal complaint to Plymouth Police, Charles Cross HQ, on the 26th October 2008, Log number 589/26.10.08; and letter of complaint to Plymouth City Council Legal Services Department, on the 3rd November 2008
- ²⁹ Plymouth City council were subsequently censure for this action by The Information Commissioner’s Office, in a letter dated the 1st September 2009 (Ref. RFA0227272).
- ³⁰ ‘Challenge Those Who Hate in Plymouth’, Police News Release, 11th July 2005, Devon and Cornwall Constabulary.
- ³¹ The expression ‘white’ (in regard to skin colour) is used by State institutions and agencies to identify people from the native (English) population – but without having to give recognition to those people the status of belonging to ‘a people’, or of possessing sovereign nationhood and native entitlement.
- ³² from: <http://www.pietyuk.org/News.php> downloaded on the 22nd June 2009
- ³³ In testimony before the US Senate Committee on the Judiciary, ‘Terrorism: Growing Wahhabi Influence in the United States’, Stephen Schwartz, Director, Islam and Democracy Program, 26th June 2003

-
- ³⁴ 'Dispatches: Undercover Mosques', a Channel 4 TV documentary, 15th January 2007
- ³⁵ source: Daily Mail, 9th August 2007
- ³⁶ OFCOM Broadcast Bulletin, Issue N° 97, 19th November 2007, page 9
- ³⁷ See report in: 'Legal Opinion: How accusations of TV fakery led to a libel action', The Independent, 21st May 2008, <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/legal-opinion-how-accusations-of-tv-fakery-led-to-a-libel-action-831490.html>
- ³⁸ <http://www.greenandchambers.com/portfolio.html>
- ³⁹ In testimony before the US Senate Committee on the Judiciary, "Terrorism: Growing Wahhabi Influence in the United States", Stephen Schwartz, Director, Islam and Democracy Program, 26th June 2003
- ⁴⁰ from: <http://www.arabnews.com/?page=9§ion=0&article=123483&d=10&m=6&y=2009>
- ⁴¹ 'The Muslim Brotherhood's Conquest of Europe', by Lorenzo Vidino, Deputy Director, The Investigative Project, Washington
- ⁴² 'Bosnia, 1 degree of separation from Al-Qaeda', The Centre for Peace in the Balkans, Analysis, July 2003
- ⁴³ Under US Executive Order 13224, The US Department of The Treasury on the 6th May 2004 (ref. JS-1527), identified the organisation Taibah International Aid Association (Bosnia branch) as a Specifically Designated Global Terrorist Entity (SDGTE).
- ⁴⁴ 'The Bin Ladens – Oil, Money, Terrorism and the Secret Saudi World', Steve Coll, Penguin Books, page 484
- ⁴⁵ Testimony to the National Commission On Terrorist Attacks Upon The United States, by Steven Emerson, 9th July 2003
- ⁴⁶ 'Has Someone Been Sitting On The FBI?', BBC Newsnight, 6th November 2001, source: <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/events/newsnight/1645527.stm>
- ⁴⁷ 'FBI Claims Bin Laden Inquiry Was Frustrated', The Guardian, Greg Palast and David Pallister, 7th November 2001, source: <http://www.guardian.co.uk/Archive/Article/0,4273,4293682,00.html>
- ⁴⁸ from: <http://www.islamsgreen.org> downloaded on the 12th January 2008
- ⁴⁹ Under US Executive Order 13224, The US Department of The Treasury on the 22nd August 2003 (ref. JS-672), identified the organisation Interpal as a Specifically Designated Global Terrorist Entity (SDGTE).
- ⁵⁰ 'Faith, Hate and Charity', Panorama, BBC1, 30th July 2006; and 'Response to Interpal Inquiry by Charity Commission', John Ware, BBC Panorama reporter, 2nd March 2009
- ⁵¹ See www.galha.org/briefing/qaradawi.html
- ⁵² Under US Executive Order 13224, The US Treasury Department on the 12th November 2008 (Ref. HP-1267) Designated the Organisation 'Union of Good' as "an organization created by Hamas leadership to transfer funds to the terrorist organization".
- ⁵³ 'Response to Interpal inquiry by Charity Commission', by John Ware, BBC TV, 2nd March 2009
- ⁵⁴ See Plymouth City Council, Planning Department, records for planning application ref. 09/00852/FUL
- ⁵⁵ See Plymouth City Council, Planning Department, records for planning application ref. 09/01226/FUL
- ⁵⁶ Planning Officer's report to the Plymouth City Council Planning Meeting, 15th October 2009, in regard to application ref. 09/00852/FUL
- ⁵⁷ See Plymouth City Council, Planning Department, records for planning application ref. 09/00852/FUL – and, in particular, the image scans of the "*letters of representation*"
- ⁵⁸ Planning Officer's report to the Plymouth City Council Planning Meeting, 15th October 2009, in regard to application ref. 09/01226/FUL
- ⁵⁹ See Plymouth City Council, Planning Department, records for planning application ref. 09/01226/FUL – and, in particular, the image scans of the "*letters of representation*"
- ⁶⁰ See: 'Grant of Conditional Planning Permission', for application ref. 09/01226/FUL, letter dated 21st October 2009